Appendix D: Participant-Centered Planning and Service Delivery

Appendix D-1: Service Plan Development

Sta	te Pa	articipant-Centered Service Plan Title: Person-Centered Plan			
		asibility for Service Plan Development . Per 42 CFR §441.301(b)(2), specify who is responsible development of the service plan and the qualifications of these individuals <i>(check each that applies)</i>			
		Registered nurse, licensed to practice in the State			
		Licensed practical or vocational nurse, acting within the scope of practice under State law			
		Licensed physician (M.D. or D.O)			
		Case Manager (qualifications specified in Appendix C-1/C-3)			
	X	Case Manager (qualifications not specified in Appendix C-1/C-3). Specify qualifications:			
		The DDA licenses and contracts with case management services providers, known as Coordinators of Community Services (CCS), through the Medicaid State Plan Targeted Case Management (TCM) authority. Minimum Qualifications			
		Each CCS assigned to an applicant/participant must meet the following minimum qualifications specified in Medicaid's TCM regulations for people with developmental disabilities and DDA's resource coordination regulations set forth in the Code of Maryland Regulations (COMAR) 10.09.48.05 and 10.22.09.06, respectively, as amended.			
		As provided in Medicaid's TCM regulations, CCS education and experience requirements may be waived if an individual has been employed by a DDA-licensed Coordination of Community Service agency as a coordinator for at least 1 year as of January 1, 2014.			
		 Ineligibility for Employment As provided in Medicaid's TCM regulations, an individual is ineligible for employment by a Coordination of Community Services provider, agency, or entity in Maryland if the individual: 1. Is simultaneously employed by any MDH-licensed provider agency; 2. Is on the Maryland Medicaid exclusion list; 3. Is on the federal List of Excluded Individuals/Entities (LEIE); 4. Is on the federal list of excluded parties as maintained by the System of Award Management (SAM.GOV); 5. Has been convicted of a crime of violence in violation of Criminal Law Article, §14-101, Annotated Code of Maryland; 6. Violates or has violated Health-General Article, §7-1102, Annotated Code of Maryland; or 7. Has been found guilty or been given Probation Before Judgment for a crime which would indicate behavior potentially harmful to individuals receiving services, as documented. 			

State:	
Effective Date	

			to Health-General Article, §19-1902, et seq., Annotated Code of Maryland.
			Necessary Skills for a CCS Each CCS must possess the skills necessary to: 1. Coordinate and facilitate planning meetings; 2. Create Person-Centered Plans; 3. Negotiate and resolve conflicts; 4. Assist individuals in gaining access to services and supports; and 5. Coordinate services and monitor the quality and provision of services.
			Required Staff Training
			All DDA-licensed Coordination of Community Service providers shall ensure and document that each CCS staff member receives any training required by DDA including person-directed and person-centered supports focusing on outcomes.
			Each CCS must complete training on using the framework for eC harting the LifeCourse Framework framework . The framework helps individuals -of all abilities and at any age or stage of life, and their families, develop a vision for a good life, think about what they need to know and do, identify how to find or develop supports, and discover what it takes to live the lives they want to live. The Life Course framework helps individuals and their families plan ahead and to start thinking about life experiences now that will help move them toward an inclusive, productive life in the future.
			Social Worker Specify qualifications:
			Other Specify the individuals and their qualifications:
		rvice ect o	Plan Development Safeguards.
		X	Entities and/or individuals that have responsibility for service plan development may not provide other direct waiver services to the participant.
	*	0	Entities and/or individuals that have responsibility for service plan development may provide other direct waiver services to the participant. The State has established the following safeguards to ensure that service plan development is conducted in the best interests of the participant. Specify:
c.			rting the Participant in Service Plan Development. Specify: (a) the supports and information that le available to the participant (and/or family or legal representative, as appropriate) to direct and be

actively engaged in the service plan development process and (b) the participant's authority to determine

State:
Effective Date

who is included in the process.

- (a) The CCS provides the participant, and his or her family members; (-if appropriately authorized by the participant), and his or her legal or authorized representatives (if applicable) with written and oral information about DDA services and the process of developing a Person-Centered Plan. The CCS assists the participant and his or her team by facilitating the team meeting and creating a Person-Centered Plan.
- (b) The CCS provides each participant, and his or her legal representative and family members; (if appropriately authorized by the participant), and his or her legal or authorized representatives (if applicable) with information about the participant's rights to determine his or her personcentered planning team. The participant, or his or her legal representative acting on the participant's behalf, may invite family members, friends, DDA advocacy specialists, coworkers, professionals, and anyone else he or she may desire to be part of team meetings or his or her circle of support. The participant is encouraged to involve important people in his or her life in the planning process. However, the participant, or his or her legal or authorized representative, also retains the authority to exclude any individual from participating in the development of his or her Person-Centered Plan with the CCS.
- d. Service Plan Development Process In four pages or less, describe the process that is used to develop the participant-centered service plan, including: (a) who develops the plan, who participates in the process, and the timing of the plan; (b) the types of assessments that are conducted to support the service plan development process, including securing information about participant needs, preferences and goals, and health status; (c) how the participant is informed of the services that are available under the waiver; (d) how the plan development process ensures that the service plan addresses participant goals, needs (including health care needs), and preferences; (e) how waiver and other services are coordinated; (f) how the plan development process provides for the assignment of responsibilities to implement and monitor the plan; and, (g) how and when the plan is updated, including when the participant's needs change. State laws, regulations, and policies cited that affect the service plan development process are available to CMS upon request through the Medicaid agency or the operating agency (if applicable):

(a) Development of the Person-Centered Plan

Who Develops

The CCS is responsible for the development of the Person-Centered Plan with the participant, his or her designated representative, and the individual's <u>chosen</u> team. The individual is provided the option to direct and manage the planning process, which the CCS facilitates.

Individuals can use a variety of person-centered planning methodologies such as the Integrated Support Star, Life Trajectory, Exploring Life Possibilities, Integrated Long-Term Services and Supports – Needs Template and Before and After Integrated Supports, Essential Lifestyle Planning, Personal Futures Planning, MAPS, PATH, or an equivalent person-centered planning strategy.

Who Participates

As further specified in subsection d. above, the individual, his or her designated legal or authorized representative(s) (if applicable), and chosen family members are the central members of the team responsible for planning and developing a Person-Centered Plan. The individual, or his or her designated legal or authorized representative on the individual's behalf,

State:	
Effective Date	

may invite others important to the individual to be part of the planning process. However, the individual, or his or her designated representative, also retain the authority to exclude any individual from development of his or her Person-Centered Plan with the CCS.

Timing of Plan

The plan is developed as part of the waiver application process and updated at least annually, or more frequently when there are changes to the participant's circumstances or services.

The CCS contacts the individual, and his or her <u>designated_legal or authorized_representative(s)</u> (<u>if applicable</u>), to obtain the individual's preferences for the best time and location of the planning meeting. Meetings may be held at the individual's home, job, a community site, day program, or wherever he or she feels most comfortable reviewing and discussing his or her plan.

(b) Types of Assessments Conducted to Support Development of the Person-Centered Plan

In addition to obtaining a variety of information and assessments about the individual's needs, preferences, life course goals, and health from other sources as specified below, the CCS uses the Health Risk Screening Tool (HRST) and Support Intensity Scale (SIS)®. The HRST assesses the individual's health and safety needs, particularly with respect to the following areas: community safety, health and medical needs, sexuality and relationships, abuse, neglect, elopement, financial exploitation, behaviors, home environment, fire safety, personal care/daily living, mental health, police involvement, informed consent, and others as appropriate to the age and circumstance to the participant. The SIS measures the individual's support needs in personal, work-related, and social activities in order to identify and describe the types and intensity of the supports an individual requires.

In addition to these assessments, the CCS gathers information regarding the individual needs, goals, and preferences from the individual, his or her family, friends, and any other individuals invited to participate in the planning process. The CCS also reviews other formal health, developmental, communication, and behavioral assessments conducted by physicians, mental health professionals, behavioral specialists, special educators, and other health professionals (e.g., Speech Pathologist, Occupational Therapist, Physical Therapist), as appropriate.

(c) Provision of Information Regarding Available Waiver Services to the Participant

During initial meetings, quarterly monitoring activities, and the annual plan development meeting, the CCS shares information with the individual, and his or her designated representative about available waiver services and qualified providers (i.e. individuals, community-based service agencies, vendors and entities). The CCS also provides information on how to access, via the internet, a comprehensive list of DDA services (including all waiver-covered services) and licensed and approved providers. The CCS assists the individual in integrating the delivery of supports needed. If the individual does not have internet access, the CCS provides the individual with a hard-copy resource manual.

(d) <u>How Development Process Ensures Plan Addresses the Participant's Goals, Needs, and Preferences</u>

The DDA requires each CCS to use an individual-directed, person-centered planning approach. This approach identifies the individual's strengths, needs, preferences, goals, access to paid and non-paid supports, health status, risk factors, and other information for a Person-Centered Plan. As part of this person-centered planning approach, the CCS gathers information from the

State:	
Effective Date	

participant/and or, his or her legal or authorized representative(s) (if applicable), his or her circle of support (family and friends), assessments, observations, and interviews.

Based on a person-centered planning approach, a Person-Centered Plan (PCP) is developed that identifies supports and services to meet the individual's needs, goals, and preferences in order for the individual to live in his or her home or community and whether those supports and services will be provided by natural or informal supports, other local, State, and federal programs, or this waiver program. Skills to be developed or maintained under waiver services are determined based on the individualized goals and outcomes as documented in his or her PCP. The PCP will also address any need for training for the individual, his or her representative, family member, provider or direct care staff in implementing the Person-Centered Plan.

(e) How Waiver and Other Services are Coordinated

The CCS assists the individual and the team in coordinating generic resources, natural supports, services available through other programs, Medicaid State Plan services, and waiver services. The CCS provides case management services, including assisting the individual to connect with this array of services and supports and ensure their coordination.

The Person-Centered Plan (PCP) is the focal point for coordinating services available under various programs, including this waiver, which meets the individual's needs, goals, and preferences as identified in the PCP. The PCP serves as a working plan that details the individualized plan to address his or her specific needs while working towards achieving and maintaining a good quality of life, in accordance with the individual's goals, social life, spirituality, citizenship, advocacy, and preferences. The PCP includes focus areas that individuals can explore related to employment, communication, life-long learning, community involvement, day-to-day, finance, home and housing, -health and wellness, and relationships' goals.

(f) <u>How the Development Process Provides for the Assignment of Responsibilities to</u> <u>Implement and Monitor the Plan</u>

In general, the PCP outlines roles and responsibilities for services and supports.

The CCS is responsible for monitoring implementation of the PCP on an ongoing basis through telephone, e-mail, and face-to-face contacts. The CCS ensures monitors that the services and supports meet the individual's health and safety needs. In addition, when a change in health status occurs, the CCS facilitates the evaluation of need for service needs changes to address the change, if appropriate take place. The CCS also ensures monitors that services are delivered in the manner described in the PCP, and that the individual's goals, needs, and preferences, as identified in the PCP, are being addressed and met.

(g) How or When the Plan is Updated

At least annually, or <u>more frequently</u> when there is a change in a individual's needs, health status, or circumstances, the individual, his or her <u>designated legal or authorized</u> representative(s) (<u>if applicable</u>), his or her family (<u>if appropriately authorized by the participant</u>), and his or her self-selected team must come together to review and revise the PCP, <u>which is facilitated by the CCS</u>. These required updates to an individual's PCP ensure that it reflects the current needs, preferences, and goals of the participant.

State:	
Effective Date	

The PCP is updated in accordance with the person-centered planning process identified in this subsection d.

e. Risk Assessment and Mitigation. Specify how potential risks to the participant are assessed during the service plan development process and how strategies to mitigate risk are incorporated into the service plan, subject to participant needs and preferences. In addition, describe how the service plan development process addresses backup plans and the arrangements that are used for backup.

Risk Assessment

During development of the Person-Centered Plan (PCP), the participant's planning team, facilitated by the CCS, assesses the participant's health and safety needs, particularly with respect to the following areas: community safety, health and medical needs, sexuality and relationships, abuse, neglect, elopement, financial exploitation, behaviors, home environment, fire safety, personal care/daily living, mental health, police involvement, informed consent, and others as appropriate to the age and circumstance to the participant. In addition to objective assessments, the family is a key source of information on risk assessment and mitigation, especially when supporting participants under the age of 21.

To promote optimum health, to mitigate or eliminate identified risks, and to avert unnecessary health complications or deaths, the CCS must complete the electronic Health Risk Screening Tool (HRST) for all participants annually as part of the PCP planning process. The HRST is a web-based screening instrument designed to detect health destabilization early and prevent preventable deaths. It is a reliable, field-tested screening tool that consists of 22 rating items, divided into 5 health categories. The outcome of scoring all 22 rating items is an objective Health Care Level that represents the overall degree of health risk and destabilization of the participant. Since each of the 22 rating items receives its own score, the level of health risk can be determined on each of the items as well. Once a participant is fully screened, the HRST produces Service and Training Considerations that can be used by staff and families. Service and Training Considerations describe what further evaluations, specialists, assessments, or clinical interventions may be needed to support the participant based on the identified health risks.

Individuals with an HRST level score of 3 or higher are considered higher risk thus require increased monitoring and supervision. If an individual's HRST Health Care Level becomes a score of 3 or higher, a Registered Nurse must complete a Clinical Review of the HRST as per the standard process with this national tool. The HRST contains a comments section where the CCS (HRST Rater) can give reasons for why a score was selected. This will allow the certified Nurse "Reviewer", to evaluate the appropriateness of the score. The Nurse (HRST Reviewer) performs interviews and record reviews to validate each HRST rating and score computation. All clarifying information about a rating area entered by the Nurse (HRST Reviewer) is written in the "Comments" section for the appropriate item. The Nurse (HRST Reviewer) also reviews and revises as necessary, the Evaluation/Service and Training Recommendations. Therefore, to maintain validity and reliability of the tool, it is necessary that the Nurse, who will be reviewing the HRST, be trained and certified.

Through the use of the supporting families' tools such as the Integrated Support Star, Life Trajectory, Exploring Life Possibilities, Integrated Long- Term Services and Supports – Needs

State:	
Effective Date	

Template and Before and After Integrated Supports, individuals and families will also assess other areas of risk for the individual in addition to medical concerns.

Risk Mitigation Strategies

After these risk assessments are completed and reviewed, potential risk mitigation strategies are discussed as part of the team meeting, are based on the unique needs of the participant, and his or her family, and must ensure health and safety while affording a participant the dignity of risk. The CCS assists the participant and his or her team in the development of these risk mitigation strategies including back-up plans, which are incorporated into the PCP and service record.

Once identified, the CCS will incorporate the individualized risk mitigation strategies including back—up plans into the PCP, in accordance with the participant's and his or her family's needs, goals, and preferences. Risk mitigation strategies may include: (1) participant, family, and staff training; (2) assistive technology; (3) back-up staffing plans; and (4) emergency management strategies for various risks such as complex medical conditions, identified elopement risk, or previous victim of abuse, neglect, and exploitation.

In addition, all DDA-licensed service providers must have a system for providing emergency back-up services and supports as part of their policies and procedures, which are reviewed by DDA and Office of Health Care Quality (OHCQ). Emergency back-up plans are reviewed during quarterly monitoring to ensure strategies continue to meet the needs of the participant.

f. Informed Choice of Providers. Describe how participants are assisted in obtaining information about and selecting from among qualified providers of the waiver services in the service plan.

The CCS provides information to each participant, his or her designated representative, his or her family members, and other identified planning team members regarding available waiver services, service delivery models (i.e. Self-Directed Service and Traditional Service Delivery Model), and qualified providers and availability of service providers on an ongoing basis. The CCS assists the participant with coordinating and integrating the delivery of supports based on the participant's needs, goals, and preferences.

For participants choosing to Self-Direct Services delivery model, the CCS informs the participant of their options under the employer authority to identify and select their staff and service providers.

For participants choosing the Traditional Services delivery model, the CCS informs the participant of available DDA-licensed and approved providers. The participant, and his or her designated legal or authorized representative (if applicable), may explore, interview, and exercise choice regarding these potential providers. The CCS assists the participant in scheduling visits with providers and provides a list of providers from which theythe participant may make informed choices (including the DDA's website).

The CCS and the DDA encourages participants to learn about multiple providers, including meeting and interviewing staff regarding services, prior to selecting their provider agency. Potential providers can discuss how they can support the participant and his or her family in a way that meets the participant's needs, goals, and preferences.

State:	
Effective Date	

For services and programs at a specific location, participants and their families can request a tour, ask questions, and observe classes and programs in order to make an informed choice.

g. Process for Making Service Plan Subject to the Approval of the Medicaid Agency. Describe the process by which the service plan is made subject to the approval of the Medicaid agency in accordance with 42 CFR §441.301(b)(1)(i):

The OHSOLTSS ensures compliant performance of this waiver by delegating specific responsibilities to the Operating Agency (DDA) through an Interagency Agreement (IA).

All Person-Centered Plans (PCP) of participants entering the waiver are submitted to the DDA for review prior to service initiation. The DDA reviews the PCPs and supporting documentation to assure compliance with all policy and regulations. Changes to services (amount, duration, scope) in a PCP (through the annual process or due to a change in a participant's needs) must be submitted to DDA for review and approval as per the Modified Service Funding Plan Request policy. PCPs are also reviewed during DDA site visits and OHCQ surveys to ensure they are current and comply with all waiver eligibility, fiscal and programmatic regulations.

A retrospective representative sample of participant record will be reviewed on a quarterly basis to ensure that plans have been developed in accordance with applicable policies and procedures and plans ensure the health and welfare of waiver participants. The sample size will be based on a 95% confidence +/-5%. The review will be conducted by DDA staff.

The Person-Centered Plans are maintained in DDA's Provider Consumer Information System (PCIS2) and transitioning into the <u>Maryland's</u> Long Term Services and Supports (<u>LTSSMaryland</u>LTSS) System. Records are maintained for 7 years.

h. Service Plan Review and Update. The service plan is subject to at least annual periodic review and update to assess the appropriateness and adequacy of the services as participant needs change. Specify the minimum schedule for the review and update of the service plan:

0	Every three months or more frequently when necessary	
0	Every six months or more frequently when necessary	
X	Every twelve months or more frequently when necessary	
	Other schedule	
	Specify the other schedule:	

i. Maintenance of Service Plan Forms. Written copies or electronic facsimiles of service plans are maintained for a minimum period of 3 years as required by 45 CFR §92.42. Service plans are maintained by the following *(check each that applies)*:

	Medicaid agency
X	Operating agency
X	Case manager

State:	
Effective Date	

□ Other	
Specify:	
Appendix D-2: Service Plan Implementation and Monitoring	
Appendix D-2. Service Flair implementation and Monitoring	
Service Plan Implementation and Monitoring. Specify: (a) the entity (entities) responsion nonitoring the implementation of the service plan and participant health and welfare; (b) the next follow-up method(s) that are used; and, (c) the frequency with which monitoring is perform	nonitoring
(a) The Entity (Entities) Responsible For Monitoring Implementation of Service Plan Participant Health & Welfare	and
CCS and the DDA monitor the implementation of the Person-Centered Planservice plan	
ensure that waiver services are furnished delivered in accordance with the service plan a consistent with safeguarding the participants' health and welfare.	ına
Access to non-waiver services:	
The person-centered planning process includes exploration and discovery of important relationships, community connections, faith-based associations, health needs, areas of in and talents that can also help to identify additional potential support for desired Outcom.	
The new LTSS PCP Outcome page includes a description of how community resources	and
natural supports (i.e. non waiver services) are being used or developed. The CCS PCP provides direction for coordinator on how to identify and describe opportunities for peo utilize their natural, including non-staff supports to engage in the Outcome-related active	ple to
and to include use of generic community resources (i.e., using a store-provided shoppin or having staff focus on developing relationships with coworker's versus providing actu	g aide
the-job assistance). Supports identified are then noted with the Support Considerations that include the name of the person, relationship, support/service, and support role.	_
In addition, Community Living – Group Home and Enhanced Support services are delivered by a support and appropriate and entire and entire and entire and entire are delivered by a support of the entire and entire and entire are delivered by a support of the entire and entire are delivered by a support of the entire and entire are delivered by a support of the entire and entire are delivered by a support of the entire are delivered by a support of the entire and entire are delivered by a support of the entire and entire are delivered by a support of the entire are d	_
provider owned and operated residential habilitation sites. These providers are responsi supporting the person attending their health appointments and for follow-up actions bas results, and the documentation of said events.	
(b) Methods for Monitoring and Follow-Up Activities	
The new LTSS PCP also includes information related to how the team will know that pris occurring and the frequency for assessing satisfaction, the implementation strategies, reviewing the outcome.	
CCS are required to conduct quarterly monitoring and enter information into an enhance Monitoring and Follow Up form. The form includes sections related to demographic	ed LTSS

State:	
Effective Date	

follow up action may be required and will be noted in the "Recommended Action" section which can include items specific to service provision. Health and safety items require immediate action and in some situations require an incident report as per the Policy on Reportable Incidents and Investigations.

CCS monitoring is designed to provide support to participants and their families and allows forsupports frequent communication to address current needs and to ensure health and safety. In addition, monitoring allows for facilitates increased support to plan for services throughout the lifespan. The monitoring maximizes support to create the quality of life envisioned by the participant and the family.

CCS monitoring verifies that the individual is receiving the appropriate type, amount, scope, duration, and frequency of services to address the individual's assessed needs and desired outcome statements as documented in the approved and authorized service plan. It also ensures that the participant has access to services, has a current back-up plan and exercises free choice of providers. When changes in needs occur, the monitoring affords an opportunity for discussion and planning for increased or decreased support, as needed. Increased of monitoring frequency may be warranted based on participant's health and safety.

The CCS conduct these monitoring and follow-up activities through telephone conferences, emails, and face-to-face meetings with the participant, his or her designated representative, his or her family, and service providers. The CCS is required to conduct a face-to-face visit at least once per quarter with the personparticipant enrolled in services.

Information is systemically collected about the monitoring results and follow-up actions are recorded by the CCS on a standardized monitoring form determined by the DDA which is entered into MDLTSSPCIS2. Health and safety concerns are reported to the DDA via communication with the RO and/or incident reporting as per required by the Policy on Reportable Incidents and Investigations. The monitoring and CCS follow up form is being updated for the new LTSS which will begin implementation in July 2018.

The DDA monitoring activities include:

- Regional Offices monitor implementation of the PCP through the approval of service plans and authorizations of services revisions are made to address changing needs of the participants;
- Regional Offices conduct onsite reviews of participant services and providers implementation including elements related to staff knowledge of services, service delivery as noted in the PCP, and health and welfare (e.g. medication administration records and health assessments completed); and
- 3. Regional Offices monitor the quality of the CCS monitoring services related to the implementation of the service plan.

The DDA developed and implemented the "Evidence of Person-Centered Implementation Monitoring Checklist" tool to assess the CCS's development and monitoring of the PCP which has been discontinued. To enhance this initial effort to improve oversight and monitoring, resources were identified including the automation of the quarterly monitoring and follow up forms in the LTSS system and the addition of contractual staff in the regional offices that are responsible for oversight and monitoring of CCS agencies and activities.

The LTSS Monitoring Form Report provides both the DDA and CCS agencies information related to review related to the completion status of the Quarterly Monitoring and Follow-up forms for each person served. This functionality enables DDA to improve its oversight and

State:	
Effective Date	

review of CCS activities. On a weekly basis, the DDA staff will review the report to ensure that the Monitoring and Follow-Up forms are completed for the people served by CCS agencies within the specified region.

The CCSs are to review evidence of service goal implementation and document whether progress has been made. They will also review documentation to verify the provision of service as authorized. If there is insufficient progress, the CCS will meet with the service provider to determine why progress is not being made.

The new regional office staff will also review a sample of the quarterly monitoring forms and a reliability check will be completed during a provider visit to ensure that the documentation accurately reflects plan implementation.

In every incident where there is no evidence of plan implementation, the CCS is required to notify DDA's Regional Office, who will be responsible for reviewing and requesting a plan of action from the identified provider. On a monthly basis or sooner as outlined in the plan, the Regional DDA staff will monitor outstanding plans of action with the CCS and provider to facilitate compliance.

Based on DDA's monitoring activities, action is taken on all immediate jeopardy findings and technical assistance, training, and/or plan of corrections are initiated.

(c) Frequency of Monitoring

The CCS is required perform face-to-face monitoring and follow-up activities, at a minimum, quarterly basis or more frequently as needed. This monitoring must take place in the different service delivery settings.

DDA monitoring frequency include:

- 1. Regional Offices monitor implementation of the PCP on a periodic basis through the approval of service plans and authorizations of services revisions are made to address changing needs of the participants;
- 2. Regional Offices onsite reviews of participant services and providers varies and includes: (a) initial or routine visits to provider sites, (b) review of a filed complaint, (c) provider plan of correction follow-up, (d) review of a reported incident reported, and (e) service request review; and
- 3. Regional Offices monitor the quality of the CCS monitoring of services planPerson-Centered Plan related to the implementation of the service plan monthly based as outlined in the monitoring policy.

b. Monitoring Safeguards. Select one:

X	Entities and/or individuals that have responsibility to monitor service plan implementation
	and participant health and welfare may not provide other direct waiver services to the
	participant.

0	Entities and/or individuals that have responsibility to monitor service plan implementation
	and participant health and welfare may provide other direct waiver services to the
	participant.

The State has established the following safeguards to ensure that monitoring is conducted in the best interests of the participant. *Specify*:

State:	
Effective Date	

Quality Improvement: Service Plan

As a distinct component of the State's quality improvement strategy, provide information in the following fields to detail the State's methods for discovery and remediation.

a. Methods for Discovery: Service Plan Assurance

The state demonstrates it has designed and implemented an effective system for reviewing the adequacy of service plans for waiver participants.

i. Sub-assurances:

a. Sub-assurance: Service plans address all participants' assessed needs (including health and safety risk factors) and personal goals, either by the provision of waiver services or through other means.

i. Performance Measures

For each performance measure the State will use to assess compliance with the statutory assurance complete the following. Where possible, include numerator/denominator.

For each performance measure, provide information on the aggregated data that will enable the State to analyze and assess progress toward the performance measure. In this section provide information on the method by which each source of data is analyzed statistically/deductively or inductively, how themes are identified or conclusions drawn, and how recommendations are formulated, where appropriate.

Performance	SP – PM1 - Number and percent of waiver participants who have their		
Measure:	individually chosen assessed needs addressed in the service plan through waiver		
	funded services or other funding sources or natural supports. Numerator =		
	number of waiver participants who have their individually chosen assessed needs		
	addressed in the service plan through waiver funded services or other funding		
	sources or natural supports. Denominator = number of participants reviewed.		
Data Source (Select one	e) (Several options are listed	in the on-line application)	: Other
If 'Other' is selected, sp	ecify: Participant Record Re	view	
	Responsible Party for	Frequency of data	Sampling Approach
	data	collection/generation:	(check each that applies)
	collection/generation	(check each that	
	(check each that applies)	applies)	
	☐ State Medicaid Agency	□ Weekly	□ 100% Review
	X Operating Agency	\square Monthly	X Less than 100% Review
	\square Sub-State Entity	X Quarterly	X Representative
			Sample; Confidence
			Interval =95
	□ Other	\square Annually	95% +/-5%

State:	
Effective Date	

	Specify:		
		\square Continuously and	\square Stratified:
		Ongoing	Describe Group:
		□ Other	
		Specify:	
			☐ Other Specify:
Performance	SP – PM2 - Number and pe	ercent of waiver participant	ts who have their personal
Measure:	goals addressed in the serv	v 1 1	•
1,100,501,01	funding sources or natural	1 0	
	participants who have their		<u> </u>
	waiver funded services or o	•	•
	Denominator = number of	· ·	and supports.
	Denominator - number of	participantis reviewea.	
Data Source (Select one	e) (Several options are listed	in the on-line application	Other
	ecify: Participant Record Re	**	Cities .
ij Oiner is sciecica, sp	eegy. Tartierpant Record Re	VIEW	
	Danna and the Danta for	Engage of 14	Committee Amore and
	Responsible Party for	Frequency of data	Sampling Approach
	data	collection/generation:	Sampling Approach (check each that applies)
	data collection/generation	collection/generation: (check each that	
	data	collection/generation:	
	data collection/generation (check each that applies)	collection/generation: (check each that applies)	(check each that applies)
	data collection/generation (check each that applies) ☐ State Medicaid Agency	collection/generation: (check each that applies)	(check each that applies) ☐ 100% Review
	data collection/generation (check each that applies) ☐ State Medicaid Agency X Operating Agency	collection/generation: (check each that applies) Weekly Monthly	(check each that applies) ☐ 100% Review X Less than 100% Review
	data collection/generation (check each that applies) ☐ State Medicaid Agency	collection/generation: (check each that applies)	(check each that applies) ☐ 100% Review X Less than 100% Review X Representative
	data collection/generation (check each that applies) ☐ State Medicaid Agency X Operating Agency	collection/generation: (check each that applies) Weekly Monthly	(check each that applies) ☐ 100% Review X Less than 100% Review X Representative Sample; Confidence
	data collection/generation (check each that applies) ☐ State Medicaid Agency X Operating Agency ☐ Sub-State Entity	collection/generation: (check each that applies) ☐ Weekly ☐ Monthly X Quarterly	(check each that applies) ☐ 100% Review X Less than 100% Review X Representative Sample; Confidence Interval = 95
	data collection/generation (check each that applies) ☐ State Medicaid Agency X Operating Agency ☐ Sub-State Entity ☐ Other	collection/generation: (check each that applies) Weekly Monthly	(check each that applies) ☐ 100% Review X Less than 100% Review X Representative Sample; Confidence
	data collection/generation (check each that applies) ☐ State Medicaid Agency X Operating Agency ☐ Sub-State Entity	collection/generation: (check each that applies) ☐ Weekly ☐ Monthly X Quarterly	Check each that applies) ☐ 100% Review X Less than 100% Review X Representative Sample; Confidence Interval = 95 95% +/-5%
	data collection/generation (check each that applies) ☐ State Medicaid Agency X Operating Agency ☐ Sub-State Entity ☐ Other	collection/generation: (check each that applies) Weekly Monthly X Quarterly Annually	Check each that applies) ☐ 100% Review X Less than 100% Review X Representative Sample; Confidence Interval =95 95% +/-5% ☐ Stratified:
	data collection/generation (check each that applies) ☐ State Medicaid Agency X Operating Agency ☐ Sub-State Entity ☐ Other	collection/generation: (check each that applies) Weekly Monthly X Quarterly Annually Continuously and Ongoing	Check each that applies) ☐ 100% Review X Less than 100% Review X Representative Sample; Confidence Interval = 95 95% +/-5%
	data collection/generation (check each that applies) ☐ State Medicaid Agency X Operating Agency ☐ Sub-State Entity ☐ Other	collection/generation: (check each that applies) Weekly Monthly X Quarterly Annually Continuously and Ongoing Other	Check each that applies) ☐ 100% Review X Less than 100% Review X Representative Sample; Confidence Interval =95 95% +/-5% ☐ Stratified:
	data collection/generation (check each that applies) ☐ State Medicaid Agency X Operating Agency ☐ Sub-State Entity ☐ Other	collection/generation: (check each that applies) Weekly Monthly X Quarterly Annually Continuously and Ongoing	☐ 100% Review X Less than 100% Review X Representative Sample; Confidence Interval = 95 95% +/-5% ☐ Stratified: Describe Group:
	data collection/generation (check each that applies) ☐ State Medicaid Agency X Operating Agency ☐ Sub-State Entity ☐ Other	collection/generation: (check each that applies) Weekly Monthly X Quarterly Annually Continuously and Ongoing Other	Check each that applies) ☐ 100% Review X Less than 100% Review X Representative Sample; Confidence Interval =95 95% +/-5% ☐ Stratified:

Daia Aggregation and Ar	iuiysis
Responsible Party for	Frequency of data
data aggregation and	aggregation and
analysis	analysis:
(check each that	(check each that
applies	applies
☐ State Medicaid Agency	□Weekly
☑ Operating Agency	\square Monthly
☐ Sub-State Entity	Ø Quarterly
□ Other	\square Annually
Specify:	

State:	
Effective Date	

b. Sub-assurance: The State monitors service plan development in accordance with its policies and procedures.

i. Performance Measures

For each performance measure the State will use to assess compliance with the statutory assurance complete the following. Where possible, include numerator/denominator.

For each performance measure, provide information on the aggregated data that will enable the State to analyze and assess progress toward the performance measure. In this section provide information on the method by which each source of data is analyzed statistically/deductively or inductively, how themes are identified or conclusions drawn, and how recommendations are formulated, where appropriate.

	5 6014 44		
Performance	Per 2014 guidance, states no longer have to report to this sub-assurance.		
Measure:			
Data Source (Select o	one) (Several options are li	isted in the on-line applic	cation): Other
<i>If 'Other' is selected,</i>	specify: N/A		
	Responsible Party for	Frequency of data	Sampling Approach
	data	collection/generation:	(check each that
	collection/generation	(check each that	applies)
	(check each that	applies)	,
	applies)	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	
	·III ·······		
	☐ State Medicaid Agency	□Weekly	□ 100% Review
	☐ Operating Agency	\square Monthly	☑Less than 100%
			Review
	☐ Sub-State Entity	$\square Q$ uarterly	\square Representative
			Sample; Confidence
			Interval =
	☑Other	\square Annually	
	Specify:		
	N/A	\square Continuously and	☐ Stratified:
		Ongoing	Describe Group:
		ØOther	
		Specify:	
		N/A	☑ Other Specify:
			N/A

Responsible Party for data aggregation and analysis	Frequency of data aggregation and analysis:
(check each that applies	(check each that applies

State:	
Effective Date	

☐ State Medicaid Agency	□Weekly
\square Operating Agency	\square Monthly
☐ Sub-State Entity	□ Quarterly
☑ Other	\square Annually
Specify:	
N/A	\square Continuously and
N/A	\square Continuously and Ongoing
N/A	,
N/A	Ongoing

c. Sub-assurance: Service plans are updated/revised at least annually or when warranted by changes in the waiver participant's needs.

Performance Measure:	SP – PM3- Number and percent of service plans reviewed and updated before the waiver participant's annual review date. Numerator = number of service plans reviewed and updated before the waiver participant's annual review date. Denominator = Number of waiver participant reviewed.		
Data Source (Select one	e) (Several options are listed	in the on-line application)	: Other
	pecify: Participant Record Re		
, , , , ,	1		
	Responsible Party for data collection/generation (check each that applies)	Frequency of data collection/generation: (check each that applies)	Sampling Approach (check each that applies)
	☐ State Medicaid Agency	□ Weekly	<i>□</i> 100% <i>Review</i>
	X Operating Agency	☑Monthly	☑ Less than 100% Review
	☐ Sub-State Entity	X Quarterly	☑ Representative Sample; Confidence Interval =95
	☐ Other Specify:	□Annually	95% +/-5%
		☐ Continuously and Ongoing	☐ Stratified: Describe Group:
		□ Other Specify:	•
			☐ Other Specify:

Responsible Party for data aggregation and analysis	Frequency of data aggregation and analysis:
(check each that	(check each that
applies	applies

State:	
Effective Date	

☐ State Medicaid Agency	□ Weekly
☑ Operating Agency	\square Monthly
☐ Sub-State Entity	☑ Quarterly
□Other	\square Annually
Specify:	-

d. Sub-assurance: Services are delivered in accordance with the service plan, including the type, scope, amount, duration and frequency specified in the service plan.

Performance	SP = PMA - Number and per	ercent of service plans in w	hich services and supports
Measure:	SP – PM4 - Number and percent of service plans in which services and supports were delivered in the type, scope, amount, duration and frequency specified in		
medsure.	the Person-Centered Plan (PCP). Numerator = number of service plans in which		
	services and supports were delivered in the type, scope, amount, duration and		
		• • • • • •	
D = 4 = C = (C 1 4	<i>Data Source</i> (Select one) (Several options are listed in the on-line application): Other		
•	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		: Other
If Other' is selected, sp	ecify: Participant Record Re	eview	
	Responsible Party for	Frequency of data	Sampling Approach
	data	collection/generation:	(check each that applies)
	collection/generation	(check each that	
	(check each that applies)	applies)	
	☐ State Medicaid Agency	□Weekly	□ 100% Review
	X Operating Agency	☐ Monthly	X Less than 100% Review
	☐ Sub-State Entity	X <u>□</u> -Quarterly	\square Representative
			Sample; Confidence
			Interval = 95
	□ Other	—X Annually	95% +/-5%
	Specify:		
		☐ Continuously and	☐ Stratified:
		Ongoing	Describe Group:
		□ Other	
		Specify:	
			☐ Other Specify:
			1

Responsible Party for	Frequency of data
data aggregation and	aggregation and
analysis	analysis:
(check each that	(check each that
applies	applies
☐ State Medicaid Agency	□Weekly
☑ Operating Agency	\square Monthly
□ Sub-State Entity	<u>□</u>
□ Other	<u> </u>
Specify:	

State:	
Effective Date	

e. Sub-assurance: Participants are afforded choice between/among waiver services and providers.

Performance Measure:	SP – PM5 – Number and percent of waiver participants whose records documented an opportunity was provided for choice of waiver services and providers. Numerator = number waiver participants whose records documented an opportunity was provided for choice of waiver services and providers. Denominator= Total number of records reviewed.		
	e) (Several options are listed		Other
If 'Other' is selected, sp	ecify: Participant Record Re	eview	
	Responsible Party for data collection/generation (check each that applies)	Frequency of data collection/generation: (check each that applies)	Sampling Approach (check each that applies) X 100% Review
	☐ State Medicaid Agency	ž	Δ 100% Review \Box Less than 100%
	X Operating Agency ☐ Sub-State Entity	☐ Monthly X Quarterly	Review Representative
			Sample; Confidence Interval =
	☐ Other Specify:	□Annually	mervar –
		☐ Continuously and Ongoing	☐ Stratified: Describe Group:
		☑ Other Specify:	-
			☐ Other Specify:

Data Aggregation and Analysis

Responsible Party for	Frequency of data
data aggregation and	aggregation and
analysis	analysis:
(check each that	(check each that
applies	applies
☐ State Medicaid Agency	☐ Weekly
\square Operating Agency	\square Monthly
☐ Sub-State Entity	☑ Quarterly
□ Other	\square Annually
Specify:	

ii. If applicable, in the textbox below provide any necessary additional information on the strategies employed by the State to discover/identify problems/issues within the waiver program, including frequency and parties responsible.

State:	
Effective Date	

b. Methods for Remediation/Fixing Individual Problem	b.	Methods for	Remediation/Fixing	Individual	l Problems
--	----	-------------	--------------------	------------	------------

i. Describe the State's method for addressing individual problems as they are discovered. Include information regarding responsible parties and GENERAL methods for problem correction. In addition, provide information on the methods used by the State to document these items.

DDA's Quality Enhancement staff provides oversight of planning activities and ensure compliance with this Appendix D related to waiver participants.

DDA's Coordination of Community Services staff provides technical assistance and support on an ongoing basis to CCS providers and provide specific remediation recommendations on identified issues. Based on the identified issues, a variety of remediation strategies may be used including conference call, letter, in person meeting, and training. Remediation efforts will be documented in the provider's file with the DDA.

ii. Remediation Data Aggregation

Remediation-related	Responsible Party (check	Frequency of data
Data Aggregation and	each that applies):	aggregation and
Analysis (including		analysis
trend identification)		(check each that applies):
	☐ State Medicaid Agency	☐ Weekly
	X Operating Agency	☐ Monthly
	☐ Sub-State Entity	X Quarterly
	☐ Other	☐ Annually
	Specify:	
		☐ Continuously and
		Ongoing
		☐ Other
		Specify:

c. Timelines

When the State does not have all elements of the Quality Improvement Strategy in place, provide timelines to design methods for discovery and remediation related to the assurance of Service Plans that are currently non-operational.

X	No
0	Yes

State:	
Effective Date	